

JAN 27 2006

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GERARDO HERNANDEZ
RODRIGUEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71900

Agency Nos. A96-362-365
A96-362-366
A96-362-386

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 23, 2006^{**}

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the
questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be
cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-71900

further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.